CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Spring development and reservoir development

Proposed

Implementation Date: August 15, 2012

Location: NE1/4, Sec. 36, T17N, R27E **County:** Petroleum----Common Schools

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

To develop a spring and a small reservoir on a State Section that has no water for livestock. The spring development will be a small spring box with a small tank concealed in a steep, narrow coulee. The reservoir will be less than 5 acre feet. Its location should not affect any other water rights downstream.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Mt. DNRC-Lewistown Unit

Vincent Murnion-Lessee of State Lease #8913—P.O. Box 146, Winnett, Mt. 59087

An onsite field inspection was conducted on 7/11/12-scoping for this project is not necessary

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

The "No Action" alternative

The Alternative to develop the spring and the small reservoir

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

There are no unusual geological features present. It is located within the Missouri Breaks. Silty soils are present. No cumulative effects are expected.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

There is a low probability of any water degradation from these projects. There is a natural spring at the spring development location. No cumulative effects are anticipated.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Pollutants or particulates will not be produced. No cumulative effects are expected here either.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

There are no rare plants or cover types present. There should be no change as far as vegetative communities go. No cumulative effects are expected.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Aquatic life will not be adversely affected. There is no aquatic habitat on this section of land.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

At this time, no known unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources have been identified within the proposed project area. If there are some to later be found they should benefit from these new water developments.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

There are no historical, paleontological or archaeological resources present.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

This project will not be visible from any populated areas. There should not be any excessive noise or light associated with it. No cumulative effects are expected.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

There are no other activities nearby that should affect these water development projects.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

There are none.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Human health and safety will not be affected by these projects.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Agricultural livestock production will benefit from good clean water sources within the section.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

New jobs will not be created. There are no direct or cumulative effects to the employment market.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

The tax base will not be affected. There are no direct or cumulative effects to taxes for this project.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Additional services will not be required. No cumulative effects are anticipated.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

There are none.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Wilderness areas are not accessed through this tract. There is minimal recreational potential within this section.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

Additional housing will not be a requirement of these projects. No direct or cumulative effects are expected.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

Disruption is not likely. There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

There should be no shift in the quality of the area. 24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES: Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action. Livestock production and wildlife will benefit from these two water developments. There should be no cumulative social effects from these proposed actions. EA Checklist Prepared By: Name: Barny D. Smith Title: Lewistown Unit Manager, DNRC Signature: Date: V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?	
Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action. Livestock production and wildlife will benefit from these two water developments. There should be no cumulative social effects from these proposed actions. EA Checklist Prepared By: Name: Barny D. Smith Title: Lewistown Unit Manager, DNRC Signature: Date: V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	There should be no shift in the quality of the area.	
Title: Lewistown Unit Manager, DNRC Signature: Date: V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: BIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action. Livestock production and wildlife will benefit from these two water developments. There should be no	
Signature: V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: EIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	EA Checklist	Name: Barny D. Smith
V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: BIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis		Title: Lewistown Unit Manager, DNRC
V. FINDING 25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: BIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis		
25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED: The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: EIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	Signature:	Date:
The Alternative to develop the spring and small reservoir 26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: EIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	V. FINDING	
Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should benefit from these new water sources. 27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS: BIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis		
EIS More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis	Minimal negative impacts are expected with this proposal. Livestock as well as local wildlife should	
EA Checklist Name: Clive Rooney		

Area Manager, NELO

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

Approved By:

Signature:

Title:

Date: